

This survey was carried out by Holyport Residents Association to measure opinion for the RBWM Edge of Settlement Analysis. Responses were received from 513 people, 226 being online and 287 by paper. At the time this represented 18.1% of the Holyport area population.

As the normal response rate to consultations / elections is less than 4% this gives a measure of how seriously people took this consultation.

Area 7A was Lodge Farm. Area 5C was the area bounded by A330, A308(M) and M4.

Following is a summary of responses relevant to Lodge Farm using the question numbers on the results sheet;

<b>Q5</b>	<p>A question asked on the online survey but not on the paper survey was;</p> <p>Do you want to personally answer the RBWM Consultation or have the HRA make a single submission taking into account the views provided by you and other residents?</p> <p>83.6% (of 226) replied that they wanted the HRA to answer for them.</p>
<b>Q6</b>	<p>89.9% said that they do not support development on undeveloped Green belt land.</p>
<b>Q7</b>	<p>Within areas of recognised settlement within Green Belt – such as Holyport, 206 (40.2%) do not support any development, but 294 (57.3%) support domestic extensions, 262 (51.1%) support garages, 239 (46.6%) annexes and 176 (34.3%) small developments.</p>
<b>Q8</b>	<p>497 persons (96.9%) do not want housing on Area 7A.</p>
<b>Q10</b>	<p>Of the 16 who supported housing on Area 7A, 11 people said that some housing may provide some accessible public space.</p>
<b>Q12</b>	<p>This question gave various choices of reasons for not building on Area 7A. In decreasing % order the most popular were;</p> <p>90.1% said Local roads do not cope well with current traffic, cannot cope with more, and no possibility of road widening.</p> <p>86.5% Land is Green Belt.</p> <p>85.2% Schools and GP surgeries could not cope.</p> <p>84.8% Holyport Conservation Area would be spoilt.</p> <p>81.7% concerned that it would close a gap that gives the area a feel of separation from Maidenhead.</p>
<b>Q14</b>	<p>This question gave various choices for other uses for Area 7A. In decreasing order, the most popular were;</p> <p>63.7% Farming</p> <p>52.8% Nature Reserve</p> <p>50.5% Public Open Space.</p> <p>37.8% Leave as undeveloped Green Belt</p> <p>28.8% Leisure Pursuits / Playing Fields</p> <p>24.2% Allotments</p>
<b>Q16</b>	<p>As RBWM's Consultation Question 20 asks residents to say which areas they would like to have developed, even though residents not living in an area can vote for development in that area, HRA have highlighted that we consider this to be bad practice, and therefore the results of RBWM's consultation question 20</p>

	<p>cannot be relied on by RBWM. We asked Holyport residents how they would answer. This question was only asked in the online survey (226 respondents)</p> <p>77.4% said they would not vote for any areas to be developed.</p> <p>16.4% said they would vote for areas other than 7A or 5C</p> <p>5.8 % said they would vote for development on Area 5C</p> <p>0.4% said they would vote for development on Area 7A</p>
--	--

From the survey results it is clear that HRA's primary duty in representing the views of Holyport residents has to be to argue against any development on Areas 7A and 5C.

The following is the full report of the residents survey that HRA carried out for our submission in respect of the RBWM Edge of Settlement Analysis. Questions 9, 11, 13, 15 and 17 relate only to Area 5C so can be ignored.

Document 1a - HRA Survey – Holyport Area Residents - Results			
No.		%	
1	If you wish to be kept advised of Holyport Residents Association actions or matters arising from this survey or the RBWM consultation please give an email address.		
	PollDaddy ID		
	Email Address		
2	Please include your name.		
3	Please include at least your Street Name and Post Code		
4	If you are answering on behalf of several people please advise how many persons your answers represent.	%	No.
	I am answering for myself only	28.8	148
	I am answering for others in my household	31.2	160
	Please advise how many people these answers represent	100.0	513
5	Do you want to personally answer the RBWM Consultation or have the HRA make a single submission taking into account the views provided by you and other residents?		
	Personally	16.4	37
	Have the HRA answer for me	83.6	189
6	Do you support housing development in principle on currently undeveloped green belt land?		
	No, I do not support development on undeveloped Green Belt land.	89.9	461
	Yes In England	9.4	48
	Yes within the RBWM Area	5.3	27
	Yes within Bray Parish	3.1	16
	Yes within Holyport Area	3.7	19
7	Do you support development on land included in areas of recognised settlement within the green belt? Check any you support, or check none.		

**Holyport Residents Association (HRA) to the Planning Inspectorate**  
**Objection to Beaulieu Homes Appeal - RBWM Ref 17/03857/OUT PINS Ref: APP/T0355/W/19/3225689**  
**Appendix 6 - Holyport Residents Association (HRA) Survey**

	No, I do not support development in Recognised Settlements in Green Belt	40.2	206
	Yes Domestic Extensions	57.3	294
	Yes Garages	51.1	262
	Yes Annexes	46.6	239
	Yes Small infill developments up to 3 houses	34.3	176
8	Do you support housing on Lodge Farm green belt (Area 7A)?		
	Not at all	96.9	497
	Yes On part of the site	1.2	6
	Yes On the whole site	0.6	3
	I support lots of affordable small homes	0.4	2
	I support bungalows and sheltered housing	0.8	4
	I support blocks of flats	0.0	0
	I support mid-range housing	0.8	4
	I support a development of top range housing	0.0	0
	I support a mix of housing	0.0	0
	I support some housing but only in return for some open public space on the site	2.9	15
	Comments		
9	Do you support housing on Littlewick Fair green belt (Area 5C)?		
	Not at all	88.1	452
	Yes On part of the site	3.1	16
	Yes On the whole site	1.9	10
	I support lots of affordable small homes	2.5	13
	I support bungalows and sheltered housing	2.1	11
	I support blocks of flats	1.0	5
	I support mid-range housing	2.5	13
	I support a development of top range housing	1.2	6
	I support a mix of housing	1.2	6
	I support some housing but only in return for some open public space on the site	4.9	25
	I support the Hospital proposals of Dr Philip Lee of Bracknell and Theresa May	6.2	32
10	If you support housing on the Lodge Farm area (Area 7A), please check your reasons as applicable below		
	I do not support housing on Area 7A	96.7	496
	We need more houses in the Holyport area	0.6	3
	We need more affordable housing	1.0	5
	We need homes for the elderly	1.0	5
	The land is a "gap" and logical to use for infill	1.8	9
	Houses there can be an extension of Aysgarth	0.8	4
	Some housing may provide some accessible public space	2.1	11
	Housing will block noise from the M4	0.2	1
	A farm is no longer an asset	0.2	1

**Holyport Residents Association (HRA) to the Planning Inspectorate**  
**Objection to Beaulieu Homes Appeal - RBWM Ref 17/03857/OUT PINS Ref: APP/T0355/W/19/3225689**  
**Appendix 6 - Holyport Residents Association (HRA) Survey**

	Housing makes better use of the land	0.6	3
11	If you support housing or a hospital on the Littlewick Fair / M4 Triangle area (Area 5C), please check your reasons as applicable below		
	I do not support housing or a hospital on Area 5C	88.5	454
	We need more houses near Holyport	1.2	6
	We need more affordable housing	2.5	13
	We need homes for the elderly	2.1	11
	The land is a "gap" at the edge of the Maidenhead settlement and logical to develop	4.9	25
	The houses would complement those on the other side of the Ascot Road	1.6	8
	Housing will block noise from the M4	0.6	3
	Some housing may provide some accessible public space	3.7	19
	Housing makes better use of the land	1.9	10
	We need a new hospital even though traffic will increase	7.6	39
	Easy road access	1.4	7
	Comments		
12	If you do not support housing on the Lodge Farm area (Area 7A) please check factors that apply		
	The land is Green Belt full stop	86.5	444
	The land has areas of flood zone 1 and ground water emergence presenting a risk to new housing	71.7	368
	It could present an increased flood risk to existing neighbouring housing	69.6	357
	It could affect the insurance premiums regarding flood risk in the area	63.0	323
	The land is farmed and useful for British agricultural produce	72.7	373
	It would adversely affect the setting of the Holyport Conservation Area (RBWM policy is against building in such settings)	84.8	435
	It would close a gap that currently gives the area a village feel and separation from Maidenhead	81.7	419
	The RBWM report states that local sewage systems could not cope	75.8	389
	Local roads do not cope well with current traffic, cannot cope with more, and no possibility of road widening	90.1	462
	I am concerned that local schools and GP surgeries could not cope	85.2	437
	The area has zones of high pollution, more cars would only make this worse	76.4	392
	There is no access permission from Holyport Road, except for farming	64.7	332
	Ancient hedgerow borders the site and is protected	72.3	371
	Parts of the site have strong historical connections, ancient moat, possible archaeological features	63.2	324
	The site has a public footpath and interesting wildlife	74.9	384
	The site is valued as an open space for the well-being, amenity and quality of life for future generations	79.3	407

**Holyport Residents Association (HRA) to the Planning Inspectorate**  
**Objection to Beaulieu Homes Appeal - RBWM Ref 17/03857/OUT PINS Ref: APP/T0355/W/19/3225689**  
**Appendix 6 - Holyport Residents Association (HRA) Survey**

	I support housing on Area 7A	2.1	11
	Any further comments as to what it would mean to you to lose this Green Belt area?		
13	If you do not support development on the Littlewick Fair area (Area 5C) please check factors that apply		
	The land is Green Belt full stop	79.7	409
	The land has areas of flood zone 1 and ground water emergence presenting a risk to new housing	65.9	338
	It could present an increased flood risk to existing neighbouring housing	61.6	316
	It could affect the insurance premiums regarding flood risk in the area	66.5	341
	Local roads do not cope well with current traffic, cannot cope with more, and no possibility of road widening	79.5	408
	I am concerned that local schools and GP surgeries could not cope	77.0	395
	The area has zones of high pollution, more cars would only make this worse	69.4	356
	The site is valued as an open space for the well-being, amenity and quality of life for future generations	67.6	347
	Near the Cut and the Bourne flood area	41.3	212
	The site is valued as an open space, a habitat, woodland, untested archaeology, used for M4 maintenance / community events.	37.6	193
	The RBWM report states that local sewage systems could not cope	45.6	234
	It would be subject to road noise on all sides	39.0	200
	It would close a gap that currently gives the area a village feel and separation from Maidenhead	45.4	233
	I support development on Area 5C	2.7	14
	Any further comments as to what it would mean to you to lose this Green Belt area?		
14	Check below any other uses of undeveloped land you would support on the Lodge Farm area (Area 7A)		
	None - Leave as undeveloped Green Belt	37.8	194
	Farming	63.7	327
	Garden Centres / Plant nurseries	7.4	38
	Traveller Pitches	0.0	0
	Golf Courses	1.4	7
	Equestrian Activities	24.2	124
	Leisure Pursuits / Playing Fields	28.8	148
	Car Parking	1.0	5
	Boot Fairs	0.6	3
	Cemetery	4.1	21
	Hotel	1.0	5
	School	4.1	21
	Pubs	0.6	3
	Restaurants	0.8	4

**Holyport Residents Association (HRA) to the Planning Inspectorate**  
**Objection to Beaulieu Homes Appeal - RBWM Ref 17/03857/OUT PINS Ref: APP/T0355/W/19/3225689**  
**Appendix 6 - Holyport Residents Association (HRA) Survey**

	Tennis Courts	7.6	39
	Bowls Rinks	5.1	26
	Leisure Centre / Gym	0.4	2
	Allotments	24.2	124
	Nature Reserve	52.8	271
	Public Open Space	50.5	259
	Other (specify)		
15	Check below any other uses of undeveloped land you would support on the Littlewick Fair / M4 Triangle area (Area 5C)		
	None - Leave as undeveloped Green Belt	36.1	185
	Farming	53.2	273
	Garden Centres / Plant nurseries	12.9	66
	Traveller Pitches	0.0	0
	Golf Courses	6.4	33
	Equestrian Activities	23.8	122
	Leisure Pursuits / Playing Fields	26.9	138
	Car Parking	0.8	4
	Boot Fairs	4.1	21
	Cemetery	4.3	22
	Hotel	1.0	5
	School	2.5	13
	Pubs	1.6	8
	Restaurants	1.2	6
	Tennis Courts	6.0	31
	Bowls Rinks	3.7	19
	Leisure Centre / Gym	1.8	9
	Allotments	29.4	151
	Nature Reserve	54.2	278
	Public Open Space	29.3	151
	Other (specify)		
16	Question 20 in the RBWM Public Consultation invites you to specify which area or areas you would like to see developed. How would you answer this question?		
	I would not vote for any areas to be developed	77.4	175
	I would vote for development on areas other than 7A or 5C	16.4	37
	I would vote for development on Area 7A	0.4	1
	I would vote for development on Area 5C	5.8	13
	Optional - Does Question 20 encourage Residents to vote for development outside their own area?		
17	Question 21 in the RBWM Public Consultation invites you to specify whether you want a Hospital or housing in Area 5C. How would you answer this question?		
	Hospital	11.9	61
	Houses	2.3	12

**Holyport Residents Association (HRA) to the Planning Inspectorate**  
**Objection to Beaulieu Homes Appeal - RBWM Ref 17/03857/OUT PINS Ref: APP/T0355/W/19/3225689**  
**Appendix 6 - Holyport Residents Association (HRA) Survey**

	Neither - leave it as Green Belt	88.3	453
	Number who said they do not want housing on Area 5c but do want a hospital there	6.0	31
Survey completed as of evening of 10th March 2014			

Comments Received
<b>Any further comments as to what it would mean to you to lose this Green Belt area? (7A)</b>
Having lived in Holyport for over 30 years and enjoyed its semi-rural nature, any further housing would utterly destroy the separate identity of Holyport. I do not consider myself as part of Maidenhead. We already suffer from speeding traffic and localised congestion, especially by parents taking and fetching children from Holyport School. Further housing in the area would add to this problem and make life very unpleasant indeed.
I live on the A308 and traffic is horrendous, especially when traffic is diverted off the M4 for roadworks and heavily laden articulated lorries pass by my house. It feels like an earthquake is occurring. I would not be surprised at all if in the future part of the road collapses under the weight. It may be an A road but it is in very bad condition with cracks and potholes a plenty. The last time the A308 was resurfaced the Council didn't re-tarmac the road they just crushed stones into the surface - not sure what this process is called. I have complained about the lorries in the past but was told that there was nothing that could be done. One lane should be left open and lorries made to stay on the motorway. Therefore, my opinion is that, any increase in traffic would be a nightmare for the area!
The historical value of this site cannot be overstated enough. A WW1 prisoner of war camp was here! It's an area of historical significance.
I don't want to live in an extension of Maidenhead. Green Belt was a vision for the future and should be respected as such.
We do not have enough Green Belt around Holyport. Enough housing estates have already been built in a small village. With climate change we must urgently stop building on green belt land.
Having lived in Holyport practically all of my life I have first hand experience of how living conditions have deteriorated through over development (i.e. over population).
Houses will be continuous from Maidenhead to Holyport - We would be joined - Not a village anymore.
I feel the whole integrity of Holyport as a village would be lost. To build on these areas would mean that Holyport just becomes a suburb to Maidenhead.
A lot of wildlife live here, i.e. rabbits, deer, birds etc....
I have ticked that some development would be good but it must be very limited bearing in mind the above points especially the effect on flooding as we are experiencing at the moment in our area due to building above towards Ascot. It does not strike me as the most amazing Green Belt area under the circumstances of other needs for the area.

<b>Traffic volume already is a major problem especially with the current state of roads, potholes etc. and flooding has exacerbated this problem. Any future development on Lodge Farm will only make this worse.</b>
<b>Who would be sane to even suggest additional development in Holyport with the current level of traffic in Non-Peak hours. Already during peak hours, it could take me up to 30 minutes to get out Springfield Park to Windsor Road... That's absolute madness to suggest that Holyport can sustain additional population. In addition, we have in the past experienced blocked drainage issues...It is true it wasn't severe, but imagining additional strain on existing systems in Holyport will definitely cause great problems all over. Prior to thinking of any new housing development, it would be great to recognise the limitation of current infrastructure... upgrade it... and when it copes... then maybe... maybe... we should consider additional housing developments...! I am strongly opposed to additional population... Full Stop.</b>
<b>I recognise that there is a need for sheltered accommodation in Holyport. I'd prefer it didn't happen on this site however at most a development of 10 bungalows for the elderly opposite Stroud Farm lane would be acceptable - as the location is near to the shops with flat access. This would have a minimal impact on traffic and release housing stock for families. The field seems to be the one place that locals can walk their animals and allow them off their leads to exercise. Otherwise Holyport Green and the school fields could potentially become that territory with issues of fouling.</b>
<b>The name would change to Maidenhead East which we do not like.</b>
<b>OF PARTICULAR CONCERN IS THE RISK OF FLOODING/GROUNDWATER FOR BOTH NEW AND EXISTING PROPERTIES; ANYONE VIEWING THE RECENT TV COVERAGE OF FLOODING IN BERKSHIRE AND SURROUNDING AREAS CANNOT FAIL TO BE WORRIED ABOUT THE EFFECTS OF FLOODING; BUILDING ON THE FLOOD PLAIN SHOULD STOP AND I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING RBWM REINFORCE THE TELEVISED PROMISE MADE THIS WEEK TO PREVENT FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF THE FLOOD PLAIN.....</b>
<b>This land should be used for farming, grazing or Equestrian use. Animals need a home too. To lose yet more Green Belt land to a concrete jungle is a crime. Many children don't even know where their food comes from, showing them that land can be used only as housing is not the solution. We all need land to live, not just a home.</b>
<b>I don't live in Maidenhead town because I value the green areas around Holyport. Filling these in destroys the feel of Holyport, and I might as well live in central Maidenhead. Filling the areas with houses gives all the downside of living in central Maidenhead, with none of the benefits (e.g. close to station)</b>
<b>The community who have invested their time, money and effort freely in this area do so because it has a 'village community feel'. This will be lost to both the existing and expanded community when it hits the tipping point of no longer 'feeling like a village'. The opportunity cost of the overall negative impact on daily life in our homes, on the streets, in the schools, and in the commercial establishments of Holyport substantially outweighs the potential positive impact</b>



to additional residents who push it over the tipping point.
Increased traffic would pose a greater risk to pedestrians and cyclists and also significantly increase commuting journey times (which would increase carbon emissions due to gridlock) with a resulting negative impact on the economy.
We feel very strongly that productive agriculture should be safeguarded.
We consider ourselves residents of Holyport Village and not Maidenhead town. This is why we chose to live in Holyport as we like the village feel. Further, the traffic on the roads through Holyport is already at maximum capacity. ANY additional cars on the roads would cause permanent gridlock at peak hours.
The risk of increased flooding is a concern to existing housing. We have already seen this year Langworthy Lane flooded again, the water currently flows in the direction of 7A and building on this area can only act as a hindrance to the flow and draining. Holyport still has a village feel about it and building on 7A will join Holyport onto Maidenhead. If I had wanted to live in Maidenhead then I would have bought a house in Maidenhead and not in a nearby village.
My entry into Ascot Road would be severely prejudiced by the extra loading of traffic coming from any development on this land. The motorway - apart from local roads - cannot cope with extra traffic. Noise and pollution. Lighting at night. Destruction of trees.
It forms a necessary gap between the M4/Maidenhead and the village, which would be unable to cope with the increased traffic wanting access to the M4.
We strongly believe that despite ever-present pressure to build housing, we must ensure that access to countryside and open space is available to the next and future generations. We also believe it is vital to maintain green areas around all built up areas to assist in managing ground-water in both flood and drought situations.
Populations rise and fall, but once land is built on it's lost. Green Belt should never be built on, there are many other options.
Open spaces are vital for the well-being of a community. As it is, there are few enough of these open spaces in Holyport and for that reason alone, we should not infill wherever there is a plot of land. Also, I feel extremely strongly that wild life should be allowed to exist alongside people. With the pressure on land in this area, some species are struggling - I have noticed that there are fewer birds around and rarely do I see hares nowadays when out walking.
Why did we ever bother having a Green Belt area if you lot were going to build on it!
The reason I bought a house in Holyport was for the fact it was a lovely village and does not need more residents. With the new high school being built this will add to traffic issues in the area. Add more residents and it could take over 30 min just to get onto the M4 between 7.30am and 9am which is not acceptable. This is not about affordable housing but greed. They would not be proposing this if there was not good profit in it.
Any further comments as to what it would mean to you to lose this Green Belt area? (5C)

<p>Having lived in Holyport for over 30 years and enjoyed its semi-rural nature, any further housing would utterly destroy the separate identity of Holyport. I do not consider myself as part of Maidenhead. We already suffer from speeding traffic and localised congestion, especially by parents taking and fetching children from Holyport School. Further housing in the area would add to this problem and make life very unpleasant indeed.</p>
<p>I do support building here.</p>
<p>Living so close to traffic and a spot area of high pollution is hardly a healthy option.</p>
<p>Such ill thought out developments including 7A do not consider the substantial increase in traffic flow from all roads leading to and leaving Braywick roundabout. Additionally with limited footpath and no additional provision for cyclists, risk to life will leave a legacy that future generations will need to resolve with probably limited funds and more importantly limited scope to solve the problems.</p>
<p>Just sounds too dreadful.</p>
<p>The same comments as above - village would no longer exist.</p>
<p>Using for a hospital is useful for the area, and perhaps the St Marks Hospital area could be redeveloped in a residential area for some of the housing required for the RBWM.</p>
<p>Traffic congestion is a major problem. Any accident on the M4 and the traffic spills back onto local roads. If a hospital were built traffic grid locking will become a regular occurrence. How would patients get to appointments on time? Siting an A &amp; E department in this location would be a disaster as traffic congestion would prevent patients presenting within the "golden hour". Usage of the Air ambulance would dramatically be required for the ambulance service to hit current targets. Once Green Belt is gone it will never be regained.</p>
<p>A lot of wildlife live here, i.e. rabbits, deer, birds etc...</p>
<p>This open space is virtually the first such area on the M4 out from London. It gives a real feeling of entering the countryside after the large London urban spread. Its loss would mean that the surrounding towns and villages would just merge into the London sprawl. There are other less attractive areas to use for building of any kind. Definite NO to a hospital there!</p>
<p>If the above flooding problems could be addressed and traffic flow affecting Holyport I would still be in favour of the hospital - not housing - a dreadful place to live next to the M4.</p>
<p>The site is needed as a stop gap for pollution. I would welcome the site populated with trees and other life that will create a barrier to the M4 traffic and pollution. It is also important for demarcation between Holyport and Maidenhead. Also as recent events have proved, that area is needed as a flood plain.</p>
<p>Apart from air pollution I believe there would be a considerable increase in noise pollution should further development take place on these sites. I reside in Priors Way and it is already impossible to have open windows, particularly at night with the noise from the M4 motorway and the A308. Further development</p>

<p>within this area would increase this noise pollution to an unbearable level. Indeed there is far more noise from traffic than aircraft!!!</p>
<p>I support the hospital plan and accept that it would be impossible to find a Brownfield site large enough and sufficiently well located for access</p>
<p>The increase in traffic will cause more threat to pedestrians</p>
<p>There is also a lot of wildlife on the site including deer, where would they go, there is nowhere else left for them!</p>
<p>If we get rid of all our farming land how will we eat?</p>
<p>I don't live in Maidenhead town because I value the green areas around Holyport. Filling these in destroys the feel of Holyport, and I might as well live in central Maidenhead. Filling the areas with houses gives all the downside of living in central Maidenhead, with none of the benefits (e.g. close to station)</p>
<p>Generally the traffic in the area is already at saturation point so any major building that brought further traffic would have a seriously detrimental effect on the residents ability to get in or out of the village at peak times. This is a constant thorn to me and can effectively double the length of my journey into and out of London, often taking over 30 minutes to get out of the village.</p>
<p>Increased traffic would pose a greater risk to pedestrians and cyclists and also significantly increase commuting journey times (which would increase carbon emissions due to gridlock) with a resulting negative impact on the economy.</p>
<p>We support only LIMITED development on this site. Large parts of the site need to remain as present for necessary drainage and protection against flooding for the existing houses.</p>
<p>We strongly believe that despite ever-present pressure to build housing, we must ensure that access to countryside and open space is available to the next and future generations. We also believe it is vital to maintain green areas around all built up areas to assist in managing ground-water in both flood and drought situations.</p>
<p>Populations rise and fall, but once land is built on it's lost. Green Belt should never be built on, there are many other options.</p>
<p>Any loss of Green Belt is to be deplored but a hospital is a necessary thing, especially if Wexham and Heatherwood close.</p>
<p>Open spaces are vital for the well-being of a community. As it is, there are few enough of these open spaces in Holyport and for that reason alone, we should not infill wherever there is a plot of land. Also, I feel extremely strongly that wildlife should be allowed to exist alongside people. With the pressure on land in this area, some species are struggling - I have noticed that there are fewer birds around and rarely do I see hares nowadays when out walking.</p>
<p>This would really cause a problem for Ascot Road. I live right where the Ascot Road narrows and you would not believe how many times I have had to pick up bits of cars where they have passed each other and hit their wing mirrors etc ... Plus the problems we have even trying to drive out of our driveway onto the</p>

road during peak times!
<b>Other (specify) (5C)</b>
It could be opened up for public use.
Hospital if the traffic flow can be controlled
The proposed Hospital
Hospital
For holding a lovely Fayre
<b>Optional - Does Question 20 encourage Residents to vote for development outside their own area?</b>
Yes
Yes
Makes one look like a NIMBY which is not necessarily the case. Infill is an option plus Brownfield.
Yes
Yes. A classic question that fosters an answer based on "not in my back yard". Brown-field sites should be developed before consideration of Green Belt areas.
Yes, any brown field sites should be the areas to look at for possible building.
I would only vote for areas outside my own area if I had sufficient knowledge of the area and understood the impact on the local community such a development would have.
Yes- NIMBY attitude. i.e. let them develop elsewhere but not here!!
Yes most certainly
Yes. But it is not a case of my voting, "not in my area". My objections are based on increased traffic congestion, risk of flooding, and inadequate schooling, doctor surgery and general infrastructure in an area which is already under increased population pressure.
Yes - See my comments against Q13.
Yes of course there is always a risk of feeling pressure to build somewhere and therefore push it into someone else's neighbourhood.
This is a biased question as we are all NIMBY's at heart. However I do feel we need to redevelop in central areas where all facilities are already in place. Cost is the main driving force as it is cheaper to build on virgin land rather than to redevelop.
NO - homes are needed and there are some small sites in other parts of RBWM which would be less harmful to the area as a whole. The two Holyport sites are large open areas which should be valued by all RBWM residents, not just locals.
Not sure.
Yes

<b>Please note that sneaking a "Hospital" development is a back door for housing development... If there are any needs for hospitals, please do not close the existing ones... you might invest in the existing developed hospitals... rather than having families rushing their kids to Wexham Park hospital... rather than having adequate facilities at St Marks hospital in Maidenhead.</b>
<b>I think that Holyport should stay as a village. Maidenhead has plenty of undeveloped areas and brown-field sites that would benefit the town with updated housing. Better access to shops and travel. With the advent of the Crossrail link from Maidenhead to London it is important that developments occur near the train station to reduce road congestion and parking issues. Also the outer lying areas such as Holyport may become more desirable in the property market if left untouched.</b>
<b>Yes</b>
<b>In my opinion, NO</b>
<b>No</b>
<b>No</b>
<b>Yes</b>
<b>Almost certainly a cheap ploy to divide the residents resolve to contest such development work.</b>
<b>Yes - not a fair question at all and the reason included is that it can/will result in the outcome of the survey being positive for RBWM and enabling them the ability to develop least one area.</b>
<b>We feel strongly that the answers to Question 20 will obviously not reflect the opinion of the Residents most concerned with a particular area. If climate change accelerates, as seems likely, all existing flood defence measures, including the Jubilee River and the Thames Barrier will be inadequate and RBWM should not develop any low lying areas in order to prevent existing homes flooding.</b>
<b>Yes it does encourage inappropriate behaviour. It is clearly not an appropriate method to demonstrate democratic local support for a policy.</b>
<b>I feel that question 20 is inappropriately worded as it takes into account views of non residents. It encourages residents in other parts of the borough to vote for planning else where without taking in to account the environmental issues being raised in the questionnaire for residents to answer.</b>
<b>No - I don't think any area in Maidenhead has got the facilities such as roads, schools, doctor's surgery, shopping, car parking to allow any further development to take place without grossly spoiling existing developments which in my opinion have reached their optimum size for Maidenhead area.</b>
<b>Yes!</b>
<b>Yes. In our opinion, more needs to be done to find Brownfield sites for attractive and affordable housing (inc. apartments) development that is within walking distance of the railway station / town centre and that suits current life styles.</b>
<b>Of course - just like I have. Its crazy. I know nothing about the other areas.</b>

<b>We are told that we must develop in this area. Well, I would challenge that. If we infill as has happened in Maidenhead, we risk wrecking Holyport as a desirable village in which to live. I would suggest that rather than developing in the immediate vicinity, and if we really do need housing that a) the government takes steps to make better use of the existing housing stock b) large government departments and big businesses move away from the south east to reduce the pressure on housing and lastly c) if we must build locally, that we create a new village in the Knowl Hill / Hare Hatch area where there are vast tracts of open land and a railway line. Surely that must be the best solution if we really must provide more housing in the south east.</b>
<b>Yes</b>
<b>Well there's plenty of open space down Windsor Road. I'm really not sure why everyone wants to pick on a lovely little village like Holyport. I think it is sad that our villages are becoming more and more extinct!</b>
<b>Yes</b>
<b>Comments re Area 7A</b>
<b>Lodge Farm is approx 1 metre higher than Aysgarth Park and has served well as drain off. If built on, more will drain to lower property within? More large ponds now in park area shows this &amp; my front garden &amp; rear - 2" water on footpath.</b>
<b>Increased traffic unsustainable and sewage problems</b>
<b>Holyport should remain a village. Not part of Maidenhead at all.</b>
<b>Holyport roads are already congested. More housing would worsen situation and put strain on infrastructure &amp; amenities. The government should investigate empty properties before building new homes.</b>
<b>Flooding already occurs on Lodge Farm and further down to the Green. Holyport Road also has trouble with water not able to flow away. No-one has thought about this!! School can take no more kids. We are already on a flood plain Think again.</b>
<b>Always provided - drainage systems amended accordingly &amp; flood zones taken account of. And schools, GP surgeries expanded if necessary.</b>
<b>Wake up RBWM do not build on flood zones, especially Flood Zone 1. We do not want another Datchet, Wraysbury and Staines. If you need to build farther why not build or convert unwanted office space in Maidenhead Town?</b>
<b>I want predominantly Social Housing</b>
<b>Application should be sought to obtain Village Green status for the Lodge farm site, opposite the Newspaper shop in Holyport.</b>
<b>Enough is enough for Holyport.</b>
<b>Traffic congestion is bad enough without local population increase.</b>
<b>Keep Holyport pretty.</b>
<b>Recent heavy rain indicates flood area. Photos are available. Also at certain times traffic on the Ascot Road comes to a halt because of the volume. Any changes would make this worse.</b>
<b>Build adjacent to Aysgarth Park. Leave field nearest to Holyport Conservation Area as open space. Access via Aysgarth Park.</b>

<b>Local roads are already over-stretched. Development materially destroys the living area environment for present occupiers. We suffer for advantages obtained by builders and local authorities, offered to others.</b>
<b>Lodge Farm site cannot support increased housing as infrastructure will not cope.</b>
<b>Mobile Home Placement (Q2). Need low cost and sheltered housing but in mixed development with open space and amenities - social housing schemes could be ideal.</b>
<b>Develop where the derelict buildings are at present, with no access from Holyport Road. Sheltered housing for elderly would NOT put pressure on local school</b>
<b>Nothing on Lodge Farm - (which is next to a flood area)</b>
<b>No to housing - Yes to more trees which would help to cut risk of flooding and help other concerns.</b>
<b>No new developments</b>
<b>Comments re Area 5C</b>
<b>Ideal for housing - Rarely used otherwise.</b>
<b>I suggest all the empty office blocks be altered to provide accommodation.</b>
<b>Extra housing needs larger school</b>
<b>Least disturbance to present local residents</b>
<b>I prefer a hospital to housing</b>
<b>Sporting Facilities</b>
<b>Reluctantly a Hospital</b>
<b>Any further comments as to what it would mean to you to lose this Green Belt area?</b>
<b>Electricity supply also could not cope with more houses.</b>
<b>Re adversely affecting the setting - building could be done well so as not to do this.</b>
<b>Aysgarth suffers flooding in areas as drains can't cope</b>
<b>Re sewage systems this is very important as our present drainage system can hardly cope e.g. floods.</b>
<b>The density and nature of the traffic on the A330 through Holyport has already damaged the village atmosphere, especially at the northern end. Housing on Lodge Farm would aggravate the situation.</b>
<b>Green Belt should remain Green Belt</b>
<b>Park, Tearoom, Historical Centre for area history.</b>
<b>Community Centre</b>
<b>Nursing / Care home for the elderly</b>
<b>Reluctantly a school</b>
<b>Green Belt should remain Green Belt</b>
<b>Need to address traffic on the Ascot Road - should not be a main road.</b>